Illinois Policy Institute poll: Robust support for criminal-justice reform

By Bryant Jackson-Green, Criminal Justice Policy Analyst
Illinoisans think the state’s criminal-justice system is broken and are ready to embrace cost-effective, results-oriented reforms, according to a new Illinois Policy Institute-commissioned poll.

According to the results, a majority of Illinois’ registered voters – 58 percent – feel the state’s criminal-justice system has been ineffective at keeping communities safe. At the same time, 56 percent of registered voters also believe the system to be unfair.

Because of these problems, registered voters across the political spectrum support continued criminal-justice reform and are more likely to favor candidates who support reform as well. Democrats, Republicans and Independents all widely support reducing sentences for nonviolent offenders, removing legal barriers to employment after incarceration, reforming the state’s civil asset forfeiture laws and replacing money bail with a risk-assessment system.

The poll, conducted by Fabrizio, Lee & Associates from May 16 to May 18, 2016, highlights what voters think about Illinois’ criminal-justice system as it functions today, and what they think needs to be changed. The poll surveyed the opinions of 500 registered voters in Illinois and has a margin of error of 4.28 percent.

Advocates, reformers and policymakers should use this poll as a guide. It shows which reforms already have widespread support, which topics have less support and should be the focus of education campaigns, and how different groups of people vary in their feelings about reform proposals.

Recent progress toward reform and the path ahead

After decades of prison population growth and a nearly 50 percent recidivism rate, Illinois has finally started taking important steps to improve criminal justice. In February 2015, Gov. Bruce Rauner formed the bipartisan Illinois State Commission on Criminal Justice and Sentencing Reform to address chronic problems in the state’s corrections system and propose substantive reforms. Rauner tasked his commission with developing policies to safely reduce Illinois’ prison population by 25 percent by 2025.

Lawmakers started taking action, too. In 2015 and 2016, Illinois’ General Assembly passed the following important reforms:

- **Restricting juvenile transfers:** Public Act 99-0258 stops automatic transfers to adult court for juveniles who are 15 and limits the transfer of juveniles ages 16 and 17 to cases involving only the most serious crimes.

- **Opening up licenses for health care professionals:** Senate Bill 42 would allow those with certain felony convictions, such as burglary, to apply to work in health care professions after a post-conviction waiting period.

- **Setting clear standards for barbering/cosmetology, roofing and funeral services licensing:** House Bill 5973 would prohibit the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation from barring former offenders from working in certain fields unless their crimes directly relate to the occupations for which they seek licenses. This applies to
licensing in seven fields: barbering, cosmetology, esthetics, hair braiding, nail technology, roofing and funeral service.\(^5\)

- **Enacting police body camera legislation:** Public Act 99-0352 now requires that if a law enforcement agency uses body cameras, the cameras “must be turned on at all times when the officer[s are] in uniform and [are] responding to calls for service or engaged in any law enforcement-related encounter[s] or activit[ies].”\(^6\)

- **Decriminalizing possession of small amounts of marijuana:** Public Act 99-0697 makes possession of less than 10 grams of marijuana a civil offense punishable by a fine of between $100 and $200, instead of time in jail.\(^7\)

- **Removing expungement fees for those who’ve had charges dropped or dismissed in Cook County:** House Bill 6328 establishes a pilot program in Cook County that removes the $120 in expungement and sealing fees for anyone charged with an offense that resulted in “release without charging,” arrests resulting in “acquittal, dismissal,” or a conviction that was later vacated or reversed. It also amends the law to allow those with convictions to petition to remove other charges that did not result in conviction from their records.\(^8\)

Despite the real progress made in recent legislative sessions, the most substantial reforms lie ahead. The governor’s goal of a 25 percent reduction in the prison population by 2025 requires the total number of inmates to drop to about 36,661 people over the next nine years.\(^9\) As of June 2016, the Illinois Department of Corrections held 44,701 inmates – a difference of 8,040 people.\(^10\)

Successfully reducing the prison population will involve lowering the number of people admitted to state prison and reducing the length of stay for certain offenses. Pollsters asked registered voters about specific policy changes that would decrease prison populations, ease the re-entry process, reduce recidivism by getting ex-offenders back to work, and improve the overall fairness of the criminal-justice system, including:

- Strengthening diversion programs
- Removing barriers to work
- Sentencing reform
- Bail reform
- Civil asset forfeiture reform

This report examines the results of the registered voter opinion poll on these policy proposals to improve Illinois’ criminal-justice system.
Attitudes toward the state of Illinois’ criminal-justice system

The poll respondents expressed little faith in the state’s criminal-justice system. For the billions of dollars the state spends on it each year, most respondents are not impressed with the results.

The poll bears these feelings out via two questions. Pollsters first asked whether or not voters consider the Illinois criminal-justice system to be fair.

A majority of poll respondents’ think Illinois’ criminal-justice system is unfair**
- A majority of poll respondents (56%-36%), think the current criminal-justice system is unfair.
- Democrats disagree that the system is fair by a 33-point margin, while Republicans agree narrowly that the system is fair.

A majority of respondents, 56 percent, feel that the Illinois criminal-justice system is unfair. There is something of a partisan divide here: 65 percent of Democrats and 56 percent of Independents see the system as unfair, while only 42 percent of Republicans feel the same way.

Next, pollsters asked if voters think the Illinois criminal-justice system is at least doing a good job of keeping communities safe. Bipartisan majorities don’t think it is: 58 percent of Democrats, 52 percent of Republicans and 65 percent of Independents do not believe the Illinois criminal-justice system, as it stands now, is doing a good job at keeping communities safe.
Attitudes toward sentencing reform and alternatives to incarceration

Given widespread concern about Illinois’ criminal-justice system, pollsters next asked respondents about some of the most popular reform proposals, beginning with suggestions to update how Illinois sentences nonviolent offenders.

The governor has already committed to reducing Illinois’ prison population by 25 percent by 2025 – a bold undertaking. In order to reach this goal, Illinois will have to incarcerate fewer lower-level, nonviolent offenders than it has historically:

Between 1989 and 2014, 55 percent of the increase in prison admissions was due to more individuals convicted of Class 4 felonies. Today, Class 4 felony offenders make up the largest sector of incoming inmates in Illinois, accounting for over 37.7 percent of all admissions. The percentage of inmates incarcerated for Class 4 felonies has shot up faster than any other felony class – these inmates made up 11.9 percent of Illinois’ prison population as of 2013, compared with 4.1 percent in 1993.11

To see how voters feel about these issues, pollsters asked respondents about the length of nonviolent sentences and reducing the number of nonviolent offenders in prison.

Overall, respondents tend to think that sentences for nonviolent offenders are too long. Democrats and Independents are more likely to think this than Republicans, who are most likely to think sentences tend to be just right. Male respondents are slightly more likely than females to think sentences are too long.

Most poll respondents don’t think criminal justice in Illinois is effective*

- The criminal-justice system’s effectiveness at keeping communities safe is doubted across all groups.
- Independents are especially likely to disagree that the criminal-justice system is effective, by a 31%-65% margin.


*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. A small percentage of respondents declined to answer or did not have an opinion; for this reason, the totaled percentages on this chart do not amount to 100%.

**Pollsters asked whether respondents agreed or disagreed with this statement: Illinois’ current criminal-justice system is effective at keeping communities safe.

@illinoispolicy
Plurality of poll respondents’ think sentences for nonviolent offenses are too long*

- A plurality of poll respondents (39%) think nonviolent crime sentences are too long, though 18% think they’re too short and 23% think they are just right.
- Democrats (+34) and men (+28) polled most strongly for saying sentences are too long.
- A plurality of Republicans (32%) think the sentences are just right.

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. Due to rounding, the totaled percentages may not equal 100%.

**Pollsters asked: In your opinion, would you say that the sentences for nonviolent crimes such as drug offenses or property crimes in Illinois are too long, too short or just right?

@illinoispolicy

But that doesn’t mean Republicans are opposed to reform or that politicians can’t be seen as tough on crime while supporting reform. On the contrary, over 80 percent of respondents in all parties think politicians can support criminal-justice reforms “such as community supervision, mandatory drug testing and treatment programs – instead of prison – that reduce the likelihood the offender would commit a new crime.”
Another insight the poll revealed is that respondents want to prioritize prison sentences for violent offenders instead of those guilty of nonviolent drug or minor property offenses. They also want to reduce the overall number of nonviolent offenders in prison.

Nearly three-quarters of Illinois poll respondents believe prisons should primarily be used for violent offenders.
Poll respondents think prisons should primarily be used for violent offenders**

- Nearly three-quarters of poll respondents believe prisons should primarily be used for violent offenders.
- This sentiment is weakest among Republicans, but they still agree by a 63%-35% margin.


*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. A small percentage of respondents declined to answer or did not have an opinion; for this reason, the totaled percentages on this chart do not amount to 100%.

**Pollsters asked whether respondents agreed or disagreed with this statement: Prisons should primarily be used for violent offenders that pose a threat to public safety, and not for nonviolent offenses such as drug offenses or minor property crimes.

More than 4 in 5 respondents support reforming laws to reduce the number of nonviolent offenders in prison. Democrats are most likely to favor this reform at 92 percent, but Republicans are also largely in favor by a 75-22 percent margin.
Next, pollsters asked about some policies Illinois could adopt to lower its prison population.

One approach Illinois could consider is to decriminalize possession of small amounts of controlled substances or create a threshold that triggers felony drug possession. Changing possession of small amounts to a misdemeanor would relieve prison crowding, as a misdemeanor comes with jail time of under a year, while the lowest-level felony can lead to a prison sentence of between one and three years.

Respondents were asked whether they agreed or disagreed that “people arrested for drug use should be offered drug treatment instead of being sent to prison.”

**Poll respondents want to reduce the number of nonviolent offenders in prison**

- More than 4 in 5 poll respondents support reforming laws to reduce the number of nonviolent offenders in prison.
- Democrats strongly favor this reform by 92%-7%; Republicans also favor it by a 75%-22% margin.


*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. A small percentage of respondents declined to answer or did not have an opinion; for this reason, the totaled percentages on this chart do not amount to 100%.

**Pollsters asked: Do you favor or oppose reforming Illinois’ criminal sentencing laws to reduce the number of nonviolent offenders in prison, and use the savings to create a stronger probation system instead?**
Poll respondents’ support drug treatment over incarceration for drug users by wide margin**

- There is broad support for drug users to be offered treatment rather than being sent to prison; Republicans favor this approach by a 50-point margin.

Eighty-two percent of respondents – including 87 percent of Democrats, 73 percent of Republicans and 83 percent of Independents – agree and want drug users to be offered treatment instead of being sent to prison.

Property offenses are another category of nonviolent offenses that lawmakers could address. Illinois stands out among most other states for its relatively low felony theft threshold. Theft of more than $500 of goods – and sometimes even $300 – is a felony in Illinois. Most states in the country, however – 29 other states – have felony theft thresholds that are twice that or even higher. In Texas and Wisconsin, theft below $2,500 is generally a misdemeanor, a limit five times greater than in Illinois.¹²

One proposal to address this would be to raise the felony theft threshold so that theft offenses in Illinois are punished at a level similar to other states.

The evidence shows this can be and has been done without leading to an increase in property crimes. Data from The Pew Charitable Trusts show 30 states, including Illinois, have raised their felony theft thresholds since 2001. And these states had declines in property crime rates similar to those of states that didn't raise their thresholds. In fact, property crime tended to fall slightly more in states that increased their felony theft thresholds.¹³

Pollsters asked respondents what they thought about increasing the felony theft threshold. They supported this reform by a 50 percent margin consistently across party lines.


*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. A small percentage of respondents declined to answer or did not have an opinion; for this reason, the totaled percentages on this chart do not amount to 100%.

**Pollsters asked whether respondents agreed or disagreed with this statement: People arrested for drug use should be offered drug treatment instead of being sent to prison.
Respondents also expressed a preference for moving away from mandatory minimum sentencing to sentencing based on individual assessments of the offender, the offender’s history and the risk of reoffending. Pollsters asked whether respondents agreed with the following statement: “Judges should be able to sentence an offender based on their particular offense, their criminal history and risk for reoffending, instead of having to sentence based on mandatory minimums.”

Respondents were overwhelmingly in favor of this idea.

Poll respondents favor increasing felony theft threshold

- Poll respondents back increasing the felony theft threshold by a 50-point margin.
- There is consistent support for this across party and gender groups.


*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. A small percentage of respondents declined to answer or did not have an opinion; for this reason, the totaled percentages on this chart do not amount to 100%.

**Pollsters asked: Respond to this statement: In Illinois, stealing property worth more than $500 dollars is a felony that usually comes with a year in prison. There is a proposal to increase that amount to $1,000 or greater, as is the case in most other states in the country.

@illinoispolicy
Another potential reform is to increase access to drug treatment and related programming to which offenders could be sent instead of prison. One way to do this would be to expand eligibility for Adult Redeploy Illinois, or ARI, a program that provides alternatives to prison for nonviolent offenders. Lawmakers could expand ARI eligibility by amending the Illinois Crime Reduction Act. Right now, participation is limited to nonviolent offenders as defined by the Rights of Crime Victims and Witnesses Act. But by making any probationable offense eligible, others who might benefit from treatment but are now ineligible, such as some repeat DUI offenders, would be able to participate, too. Expanding access to substance abuse treatment and mental health services could be more cost-effective than incarceration in such instances.

Pollsters asked respondents if they agreed with the following reform: “Illinois’ Crime Reduction Act created Adult Redeploy, which allows counties to create local treatment programs such as drug courts that nonviolent offenders can go to instead of prison. These programs cost about $4,000 a year per participant, compared to $22,000 a year for prison. There is a proposal to expand the Crime Reduction Act so that all probationable offenses, such as DUIs, are also eligible for these evidence-based treatment programs.”

There was strong support across the board for this reform.
Eighty-six percent of respondents – including 92 percent of Democrats, 77 percent of Republicans and 83 percent of Independents – support allowing all probationable offenders to participate in ARI-funded programs.

In all, respondents were consistently in favor of important sentencing reforms and expanding diversion programs. But are they willing to express this support at the polls? Pollsters asked this question and found 53 percent of respondents said a candidate backing reform to lower the number of nonviolent offenders in Illinois prisons is more likely to have their support.
Poll respondents* significantly more likely to vote for a candidate who supports lowering prison population**

- A majority of poll respondents (53%) said a candidate's support for reforms to lower the number of nonviolent offenders in Illinois' prisons makes them more likely to vote for that candidate.
- Only 9% of respondents said they would be less likely to support such a candidate, with the remainder saying it would have no impact on their votes.

Demographic table: Poll respondents* significantly more likely to vote for a candidate who supports lowering prison population**

- Respondents from every group said support for reform would make them more likely to back the candidate, but there is variation in the margins.
- Democrats (+62), liberals (+68) and black voters (+62) are the most strongly supportive of candidates who back policies to lower the prison population.
Attitudes toward re-entry reform

Each year, more than 30,000 people are released from Illinois prisons and face the challenge of re-entering society. The biggest obstacle to getting their lives back on track — and not returning to prison — is finding a good job. But instead of embarking on a clear path to becoming independent, contributing members of their communities, many ex-offenders find themselves up against a brick wall because of bad state policies that make getting work incredibly difficult.

Pollsters asked respondents about three proposals that would address this problem by removing economic barriers to success for ex-offenders.

Occupational licensing

Pollsters asked respondents whether they supported reforms to let ex-offenders obtain employment in fields with occupational licensing requirements:

“Illinois has at least 118 business and occupational licenses that by law either must or may be denied to people with criminal records. This includes, for example, occupations such as barber and real estate agent. Once someone has successfully completed their prison sentence and parole, do you think they should be denied these licenses, or should they be granted these licenses if they’re otherwise qualified?”

Most poll respondents think ex-offenders should be eligible for occupational licenses

- There is significant support for the granting of licenses to qualified, former parolees at 76%-15%.

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. A small percentage of respondents declined to answer or did not have an opinion; for this reason, the totaled percentages on this chart do not amount to 100%.

**Pollsters asked: Illinois has at least 118 business and occupational licenses that by law either must or may be denied to people with criminal records. This includes, for example, occupations such as barber and real estate agent. Once someone has successfully completed their prison sentence and parole, do you think they should be denied these licenses, or should they be granted these licenses if they're otherwise qualified?"
Respondents are more likely to vote for candidates who want to open up these job opportunities.

**Plurality of poll respondents more likely to vote for candidates who support removing barriers to occupational licensing**

- A plurality of respondents (46%) said a candidate’s support for granting occupational licenses to those who have completed sentences/parole makes respondents more likely to support that candidate.

---

**Demographic table: Plurality of poll respondents more likely to vote for candidates who support removing barriers to occupational licensing**

- Democrats (+48), liberals (+59), black voters (+56) and Hispanic voters (+48) are the groups with the greatest chance of responding “more likely.”
- Republicans (+17), conservatives (+15) and voters outside the Chicago DMA (+26) are supportive, but somewhat less so than other groups.

---


*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. Due to rounding, the numbers in the various response categories may not total 100%.

**Pollsters asked: If a candidate for the Illinois state legislature supported allowing the granting of these business and occupational licenses to someone who has successfully completed their prison sentence and parole, would that make you MORE or LESS likely to vote for that candidate? If it would not affect your vote, just say so. Would you say MUCH (more/less) or just SOMEWHAT (more/less)?


*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. Due to rounding, the numbers in the various response categories may not total 100%.

**Pollsters asked: If a candidate for the Illinois state legislature supported allowing the granting of these business and occupational licenses to someone who has successfully completed their prison sentence and parole, would that make you MORE or LESS likely to vote for that candidate? If it would not affect your vote, just say so. Would you say MUCH (more/less) or just SOMEWHAT (more/less)?
Sealing reform

Sealing is a process by which a former offender can apply to have his or her record closed to most viewers, removing one of the most significant barriers to employment for ex-offenders who have repaid their debt to society and will re-enter after serving their time. For an ex-offender to have his or her record sealed, he or she must successfully pass through an adversarial petitioning process in the courts. Even if a court approves a petitioner’s request to have his or her record sealed, law enforcement and certain employers can still see sealed records.¹⁵

Expanding sealing for nonviolent offenders is one way to lift the burden of a criminal record, which can keep many from finding employment.

Pollsters asked respondents how they felt about the idea:

“Would you favor or oppose a law that would allow nonviolent offenders who’ve completed their prison sentence to ask a judge to seal their records? This would allow only law enforcement and employers in sensitive industries, such as schools or banks, to view the record, but only if the judge feels the offender has rehabilitated.”

Majorities of all parties – 75 percent of Democrats, 66 percent of Republicans and 71 percent of Independents – were supportive of allowing nonviolent offenders to seal their records after they successfully complete their sentences.

Respondents were also more willing to vote for candidates who support this.
A plurality of poll respondents are more willing to vote for candidates who support sealing reform

- Respondents were more likely to back a candidate who supports a law allowing limits on who can view criminal records of nonviolent offenders by a 40%-16% margin, with another 43% saying it would have no impact on their vote or they don’t know whether it would.

Demographic table: A plurality of poll respondents are more willing to vote for candidates who support sealing reform

- The groups more likely to back a candidate who would limit access to nonviolent offenders’ records are Democrats (+39), liberals (+43), black voters (+39) and Cook County residents (+36).
- Only 32% of Republicans, 31% of conservatives and 32% of downstate voters say this makes them more likely to vote for a candidate.
- There is a generational split, with younger male voters 37 points on net more likely to back the candidate, and men ages 55+ only 14 points on net more likely.

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. Due to rounding, the numbers in the various response categories may not total 100%.
**Pollsters asked: If a candidate for the Illinois state legislature supported a law that would allow nonviolent offenders who’ve completed their prison sentence to ask a judge to limit viewing of their criminal record to law enforcement and employers in sensitive industries, such as schools or banks, if the judge feels the offender has rehabilitated, would that make you MORE or LESS likely to vote for that candidate? If it would not affect your vote, just say so.

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters.
**Pollsters asked: If a candidate for the Illinois state legislature supported a law that would allow nonviolent offenders who’ve completed their prison sentence to ask a judge to limit viewing of their criminal record to law enforcement and employers in sensitive industries, such as schools or banks, if the judge feels the offender has rehabilitated, would that make you MORE or LESS likely to vote for that candidate? If it would not affect your vote, just say so.
The largest percentage, however, said they either don’t know how much support for this issue would influence them or that it would have no impact. This indicates more education on the issue is needed to move the needle on sealing reform.

**Business liability reform**

Many employers are willing to hire former offenders but are concerned about the possibility of a negligent-hiring lawsuit if such an employee commits an offense in the future. One way to combat this would be for Illinois to limit negligent-hiring liability so that simply hiring someone with a record is not grounds for a lawsuit. Then business owners will be able to hire more confidently, without fear that giving former offenders a chance will put their businesses at risk. At the same time, responsibility for any new offense should lie with the person directly responsible for that offense.16

Pollsters asked respondents whether they would “favor or oppose a ... law in Illinois that would protect a company from being sued for hiring employees with criminal records when their offense isn’t directly related to the job they’re hired for.”

Vast majority of poll respondents* support negligent-hiring liability protections for employers**

- There is strong and steady support for protecting employers from legal liability if they hire ex-offenders.

![Graph showing favor and oppose percentages]


*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. A small percentage of respondents declined to answer or did not have an opinion; for this reason, the totaled percentages on this chart do not amount to 100%.

**Pollsters asked: Some employers are willing to hire an ex-offender, but are concerned about the possibility of being sued if the employee commits an offense while on the job. To encourage employment among ex-offenders, some states have passed laws limiting the legal liability of employers who hire former offenders. Would you favor or oppose a similar law in Illinois that would protect a company from being sued for hiring employees with criminal records when their offense isn’t directly related to the job they’re hired for?

Eighty percent of respondents, including 82 percent of Democrats, 78 percent of Republicans and 79 percent of Independents, would support this reform.

Pollsters also asked whether support for this reform would make the respondents more likely to vote for a candidate, and 46 percent said they would be more likely to vote for someone who supported reforming negligent-hiring liability laws.
Plurality of poll respondents would be more likely to vote for candidates who support negligent-hiring liability protections

- By a 46%-11% margin, respondents were more likely to support a candidate who backs protecting employers from negligent-hiring liability.

**Pollsters asked: If a candidate for the Illinois state legislature supported a law that protects a company from being sued for hiring employees with criminal records when their offense isn’t directly related to the job they’re hired for, would that make you MORE or LESS likely to vote for that candidate? If it would not affect your vote, just say so.

• There is less variation by party, with Democrats (+42), Republicans (+33) and Independents (+33) more likely to support such a candidate.
• Liberals are especially supportive of the idea at +55 points on net more likely.
• Female respondents over 55 were most lukewarm, responding “more likely” by only a 35%-12% margin.


**Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. Due to rounding, the numbers in the various response categories may not total 100%.

Demographic table: Plurality of poll respondents would be more likely to vote for candidates who support negligent-hiring liability protections

- There is less variation by party, with Democrats (+42), Republicans (+33) and Independents (+33) more likely to support such a candidate.
- Liberals are especially supportive of the idea at +55 points on net more likely.
- Female respondents over 55 were most lukewarm, responding “more likely” by only a 35%-12% margin.


**Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters.
Attitudes toward civil asset forfeiture

Civil asset forfeiture is a procedure that allows the police to seize private property they suspect was related to criminal activity, even without having to prove the owner of that property used it in a crime.\(^1\)

Pollsters explained asset forfeiture and asked respondents whether they supported such laws:

“In Illinois, civil asset forfeiture laws let law enforcement seize a person’s cash or property if they suspect it has been involved in criminal activity. Under these laws, the property owner does not have to be convicted or even be charged with a crime to lose their property. In your opinion, should police be allowed to seize and permanently take away property from people who have not been convicted of a crime?”

The response was negative across all political affiliations: 89 percent of registered voters opposed property seizures without a conviction. This includes 93 percent of Democrats, 86 percent of Republicans and 89 percent of Independents.

Poll respondents oppose seizing people’s private property without a conviction\(^*\)

- Large majorities across all groups oppose police being allowed to seize property from people who have not been convicted of a crime.

Additionally, most respondents – 54 percent – would be more likely to vote for a candidate who supports reforming these laws. Twenty-eight percent would be “much more” likely to vote for a reformer on this issue.
Poll respondents* more likely to vote for candidate who supports civil asset forfeiture reform**

• By a 54%-13% margin, poll respondents said a candidate backing civil asset forfeiture reform would make them more likely to support him or her.

Demographic table: Poll respondents* more likely to vote for candidate who supports civil asset forfeiture reform**

• There is little partisan variation on this question with Democrats (+41), Republicans (+30) and Independents (+52) all responding "more likely" by large margins.

• Females over 55 are the least supportive group, though still significantly more likely to support this reform, at a 42%-20% margin.

* Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters.
**Pollsters asked: If a candidate for the Illinois state legislature supported reforming civil asset forfeiture laws to keep law enforcement from seizing and permanently taking away property from people who have not been convicted of a crime, would that make you likely to vote for that candidate? If it would not affect your vote, just say so. Would you say MUCH (more/less) or just SOMEWHAT (more/less)?
Attitudes toward alternatives to bail

One last issue on which pollsters wanted to assess voters’ appetite for reform is bail policy.

While prisons are run by the state and incarcerate people after they have been convicted of felony offenses, jails – typically run at the county level – are supposed to be short-term facilities where offenders are held after they are arrested or where offenders serve time for misdemeanor sentences of less than one year. Most residents in local jails have not yet been found guilty of any crimes – 90 percent of inmates in the Cook County Department of Corrections are awaiting trial.¹⁸

Yet many people accused of a crime stay incarcerated before trial because they’re unable to afford bail.

Pollsters asked respondents whether they would be open to an alternative system:

“Currently, Illinois courts use a bail system for the release of an accused defendant from custody before trial. Instead of bail, there is a proposal to have judges use a risk assessment to decide whether to hold the accused before trial. Under such a system, a court would decide whether to hold an alleged offender before trial based on a defendant’s criminal history, age, the nature of the offense and other risk factors unrelated to their ability to pay for bail. Do you favor or oppose this change from a bail system to a risk-assessment system for deciding whether to release an accused defendant from custody before trial?”

A majority expressed openness to the change.
Sixty-three percent of voters would favor a risk-assessment rather than money-bail system. Of course, the details of such a reform vary. What this would look like is a critical question that deserves further debate and research.
Conclusion

Illinois is a state ripe for criminal-justice reform. It has made substantial progress in 2015 and in 2016. Much remains to be done. Everyone – from liberal Democrats to conservative Republicans to those who fall in between – can find common ground on reducing incarceration for nonviolent offenders, removing barriers to work for people with records, and instituting other critical reforms that will make the state’s justice system fairer and more cost-effective so that state and local governments can focus more resources on offenders who pose a serious risk to public safety.

Voters have made their support for criminal-justice reform known. They have said they will support political candidates who deliver.

Hopefully, Springfield will heed the message and continue on the path to reform.
The poll has a margin of error for N=500 of +/-4.38 percent at the 95 percent confidence level. Interviews were stratified into proportionate geographic units based on the population of registered voters. Sixty-one percent of voters were surveyed via landline. Thirty-nine percent of voters were surveyed via cell phone.


Data from Illinois Department of Corrections detailing current prison population as of June 6, 2016.


Appendix
Demographic charts

The following charts show the demographic breakdown of the 500 Illinois registered voters who answered questions for the Illinois Policy Institute-commissioned poll conducted by Fabrizio, Lee & Associates May 16-18, 2016.

Political party

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters.

Ideology

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters.
**Age**

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters.

**Race**

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters.
*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters.

Gender

Female 52%
Male 48%

Region

*Poll respondents are 500 Illinois registered voters. Due to rounding, the numbers for the various regional categories may not total 100%.
Guarantee of quality scholarship
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